

2017-35

Papiers de Recherche | Research Papers

High School Track Choice and Liquidity Constraints: Evidence from Urban Mexico

Ciro Avitabiley*, Matteo Bobba† and Marco Pariguanax‡

December 2016

Please cite this paper as:AVITABILEY, C., BOBBA, M. and M. PARIGUANAX (2017), "High School
Track Choice and Liquidity Constraints: Evidence from Urban Mexico",
AFD Research Paper Series, n° 2017-35, March.

Contact at AFD: Rohen d'Aiglepierre (daiglepierrer@afd.fr)

^{*} University of Surrey and World Bank. E-mail: c.avitabile@surrey.ac.uk

[†] Toulouse School of Economics, University of Toulouse Capitole. E-mail: matteo.bobba@tse-fr.eu

[‡] Department of Economics, University of Western Ontario. E-mail: mparigua@uwo.ca

Papiers de Recherche de l'AFD

Les Papiers de Recherche de l'AFD ont pour but de diffuser rapidement les résultats de travaux en cours. Ils s'adressent principalement aux chercheurs, aux étudiants et au monde académique. Ils couvrent l'ensemble des sujets de travail de l'AFD : analyse économique, théorie économique, analyse des politiques publiques, sciences de l'ingénieur, sociologie, géographie et anthropologie. Une publication dans les Papiers de Recherche de l'AFD n'en exclut aucune autre.

L'Agence Française de Développement (AFD), institution financière publique qui met en œuvre la politique définie par le gouvernement français, agit pour combattre la pauvreté et favoriser le développement durable. Présente sur quatre continents à travers un réseau de 72 bureaux, l'AFD finance et accompagne des projets qui améliorent les conditions de vie des populations, soutiennent la croissance économique et protègent la planète. En 2014, l'AFD a consacré 8,1 milliards d'euros au financement de projets dans les pays en développement et en faveur des Outre-mer.

Les opinions exprimées dans ce papier sont celles de son (ses) auteur(s) et ne reflètent pas nécessairement celles de l'AFD. Ce document est publié sous l'entière responsabilité de son (ses) auteur(s).

Les Papiers de Recherche sont téléchargeables sur : http://librairie.afd.fr/

AFD Research Papers

AFD Research Papers are intended to rapidly disseminate findings of ongoing work and mainly target researchers, students and the wider academic community. They cover the full range of AFD work, including: economic analysis, economic theory, policy analysis, engineering sciences, sociology, geography and anthropology. AFD Research Papers and other publications are not mutually exclusive.

Agence Française de Développement (AFD), a public financial institution that implements the policy defined by the French Government, works to combat poverty and promote sustainable development. AFD operates on four continents via a network of 72 offices and finances and supports projects that improve living conditions for populations, boost economic growth and protect the planet. In 2014, AFD earmarked EUR 8.1bn to finance projects in developing countries and for overseas France.

The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the position of AFD. It is therefore published under the sole responsibility of its author(s).

AFD Research Papers can be downloaded from: <u>http://librairie.afd.fr/en/</u>

AFD, 5 rue Roland Barthes

75598 Paris Cedex 12, France

 \boxtimes ResearchPapers@afd.fr

ISSN 2492 - 2846

High School Track Choice and Liquidity Constraints: Evidence from Urban Mexico

Ciro Avitabiley, University of Surrey and World Bank Matteo Bobba, Toulouse School of Economics, University of Toulouse Capitole Marco Pariguanax, University of Western Ontario

Abstract

We study how a large household windfall affects sorting of relatively disadvantaged youth over high school tracks by exploiting the discontinuity in the assignment of a welfare program in Mexico. The in-cash transfer is found to significantly increase the probability of selecting vocational schools as the most preferred options vis-a-vis other more academically oriented education modalities. We find support for the hypothesis that the transfer relaxes the liquidity constraints preventing relatively poor students from choosing a schooling career with higher outof-pocket expenditures and higher expected returns. The observed change in stated preferences across tracks effectively alters school placement, and bears a positive effect on on-time graduation.

Key words: school choice, tracking, financial constraints, vocational education, returns to education, regression discontinuity design

JEL Classification: I21; I24; J24.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to the Mexican Ministry of Education (SEP) and the *Oportunidades* program (recently renamed PROSPERA *Programa de Inclusión social*) for kindly providing us with access to the data, and to Jose Guadalupe Fernandez Galarza for his invaluable help with the COMIPEMS database. For useful comments we also thank Caridad Araujo, Andrew Dustan, Ricardo Estrada, Veronica Frisancho, Alex Solis and the audiences at various seminars and conferences.

Original version: English

Accepted: March 2017

High School Track Choice and Liquidity Constraints: Evidence from Urban Mexico^{*}

Ciro Avitabile[†] Matteo Bobba[‡] Marco Pariguana[§]

December 2016

Abstract

We study how a large household windfall affects sorting of relatively disadvantaged youth over high school tracks by exploiting the discontinuity in the assignment of a welfare program in Mexico. The in-cash transfer is found to significantly increase the probability of selecting vocational schools as the most preferred options vis-a-vis other more academically oriented education modalities. We find support for the hypothesis that the transfer relaxes the liquidity constraints preventing relatively poor students from choosing a schooling career with higher out-of-pocket expenditures and higher expected returns. The observed change in stated preferences across tracks effectively alters school placement, and bears a positive effect on on-time graduation.

Keywords: school choice, tracking, financial constraints, vocational education, returns to education, regression discontinuity design. *JEL Codes:* I21; I24; J24.

^{*}We are grateful to the Mexican Ministry of Education (SEP) and the *Oportunidades* program (recently renamed *PROSPERA Programa de Inclusión social*) for kindly providing us with access to the data, and to Jose Guadalupe Fernandez Galarza for his invaluable help with the COMIPEMS database. For useful comments we also thank Caridad Araujo, Andrew Dustan, Ricardo Estrada, Veronica Frisancho, Alex Solis and the audiences at various seminars and conferences.

[†]University of Surrey and World Bank. E-mail: c.avitabile@surrey.ac.uk.

[‡]Toulouse School of Economics, University of Toulouse Capitole. E-mail: matteo.bobba@tse-fr.eu.

[§]Department of Economics, University of Western Ontario. E-mail: mparigua@uwo.ca.

1 Introduction

Returns to education vary dramatically across academic fields and tracks [Altonji et al., 2012; Dustmann et al., 2014; Altonji et al., 2016]. In most countries youth start facing important curricular choices at the upper secondary education level. While better preparing those students who plan to pursue tertiary education, academically oriented secondary school curriculums often provide no relevant job market skills for those who do not have the talent, the interest, or the financial resources to continue their studies.¹ In many contexts, and especially in developing countries, the vast majority of secondary school students enrolled in vocational education programs in Latin America and Caribbean (LAC) and Sub-Saharan Africa were about 15 percent and 11 percent, respectively, as opposed to 44 percent in OECD countries (World Bank EdStats). While arguments from both the supply side (e.g. higher running costs of instructors and facilities) and the demand side (e.g. quality concerns, lack of information about the potential returns, and social norms) may potentially explain these patterns, there is very little systematic evidence on the determinants of curricular choices in upper secondary education.²

This paper studies the role of liquidity constraints in shaping the demand for vocational education. Specifically, we evaluate the extent to which a large household cash subsidy can affect sorting over high school tracks of relatively disadvantaged youth living in a suburban district of Mexico City. The centralized school assignment mechanism currently in place gives us the unique opportunity to observe individual stated preferences over education modalities for the quasi-universe of public upper secondary education programs in the area. We combine these data with individual registries on the roll-out of the social assistance program, *Oportunidades*, which provides cash transfers conditional on household members' behavior in health and children's attendance at school (but not on the type of school attended). The in-cash subsidy is sizable, amounting to roughly one-third of the median household income in our sample. The discontinuity in the program assignment based on a pre-determined

¹Evidence from both developed and developing countries seems to suggest that among secondary school graduates, the private net returns to vocational education exceed those of general education [Altonji, 1995; Di Gropello, 2006; Meer, 2007]. Relatedly, rigorous studies from selected developing countries (Attanasio et al. [2011] for Colombia and Card et al. [2011] for Dominican Republic) support the hypothesis that vocational education and training (VET) programs have the potential to provide young adults with the skills needed for the labor market.

²Few recent exceptions are Giustinelli [2016], who studies how subjective expected utilities of both parents and students shape high school track choices in Italy and Bobba and Frisancho [2016], who focus on the role of students' self-perceptions about academic ability as a potential determinant of track choices, in the same context as in this paper.

poverty score allows us to establish the causal effects of the transfer on high school track choices by comparing households just above and below the eligibility threshold.

Mexico represents an archetypical setting in which to study curricular choices in developing countries. When completing the lower secondary level, students can choose from amongst three different education modalities (general, technical, and vocational) that greatly differ in the extent to which they prepare students to enter the labor market. The vocational track is associated with better labor market outcomes for those individuals who do not complete tertiary education, but it also entails higher out-of-pocket expenditures — i.e. tuition and transportation costs — when compared with the other more academically-oriented tracks. In the year 2013, only 10 percent of high school students enrolled in the vocational track. On-time graduation rates vary across types of degree programs with general schools showing the highest (64 percent), followed by technical schools with rates very close to the national average (61 percent) and vocational schools showing the lowest (48 percent). The preference for the general and technical tracks — the most academically oriented high school curriculums — is somehow in contrast with the very low gross enrollment rate in higher education (29 percent in 2013), especially among the poorest.

Our estimates show that eligibility for the *Oportunidades* cash transfers increases the probability of choosing a high school offering the vocational curriculum as the first option by 4-5 percentage points. When we account for the imperfect compliance with the program, we find that the probability of choosing the vocational track as first option increases by 6-7 percentage points, which is equivalent to a nearly 60 percent increase with respect to the sample average. Consistently with the hypothesis that liquidity constraints prevent some students from choosing their preferred high school programs, we find that the effect of the cash transfer on the probability of choosing a vocational option is larger for applicants at the bottom of the distribution of academic achievement in lower secondary. Specifically, this is more so for those with limited access to vocational programs (in terms of greater distance from their places of residence). In addition, as a result of the income shock and unconditionally on the chosen preferred track, students tend to select first options with higher tuition fees and that are located further away from their places of residence.

We also find that *Oportunidades* recipients are more likely to be assigned to their preferred schooling option. Placement in the school assignment system depends solely on students' stated preferences and their performance in the admission exam, both of which are observable in the data. Since we do not observe any significant change in the score of the admission exam around the program eligibility cutoff, we interpret the effect of the cash transfer on school assignment to be driven by the program-induced changes in preferences over schools. In addition, among those students who attended a vocational option, those who belong to *Oportunidades* beneficiary households are more likely to complete high school on time than those who do not belong to beneficiary households. All together the available evidence is consistent with the hypothesis that the change in school preferences triggered by the receipt of the cash transfers can have a persistent impact on educational outcomes later on — a result that is consistent with previous findings in the literature [Deming et al., 2014].

This paper broadly speaks to a large body of literature that explores the effects of credit constraints on human capital investments (see Lochner and Monge-Naranjo [2012] for a review). Recent evidence both from developed and developing countries shows that household income and/or access to credit have strong and significant effects on early childhood education [Fryer et al., 2015], child achievements [Dahl and Lochner, 2012] and tertiary education decisions [Solis, forthcoming]. We contribute to this literature by showing that, in a developing country context, liquidity constraints can have important consequences not only for the extensive margins of schooling investments (i.e. enrollment and completion rates) but also for one intensive margin (i.e. curricular choices), which can bear long-term consequences on future academic trajectories and labor market outcomes.

Although we cannot directly link school choices and assignment outcomes to subsequent labor market trajectories, our results suggest that high school track decisions may provide an alternative mechanism through which liquidity constraints can influence occupational choices. In fact, early theoretical work [Banerjee and Newman, 1993; Ghatak et al., 2001] and more recent empirical evidence had primarily focused on the lack of credit collateral and the limited access to insurance mechanisms when explaining why poorer people are less likely to be self-employed.³

There is also a large body of literature on schooling decisions that focuses on the role of incomplete information of both children and their families. Recent and growing evidence shows that the degree of accuracy of information about the characteristics of the available schooling alternatives (e.g. Dustan [2014]; Hastings and Weinstein [2008]; Mizala and Urquiola [2013]) and their associated labor market earnings (e.g. Attanasio and Kaufmann [2014]; Kaufmann [2014]; Jensen [2010]) play a role for both students' and parents' decision

³For instance, Banerjee et al. [2015]; Tarozzi et al. [2015] find that microcredit programs lead to a small but statistically significant effect on the probability of being self-employed. Blattman et al. [2014] finds in Uganda that individuals who receive unsupervised grants are more likely to become self-employed. Studying the implementation in rural areas of the same program studied in this paper (previously named *Progresa*), Bianchi and Bobba [2013] find that cash transfers increase entry into entrepreneurship through increased willingness to bear risk, rather than by relaxing current liquidity constraints.

making. Our paper contributes to this strand of literature by pointing to the role of financial frictions as an additional barrier affecting school choices.

2 Context and Data

2.1 The School Assignment Mechanism

Students who want to attend a public high school in the urban area of Mexico City are required to participate in a centralized assignment mechanism, regulated and administered by the Metropolitan Commission of Higher Secondary Public Education Institutions (COMIPEMS, by its Spanish acronym). Since 1996, the commission brings together nine schooling subsystems that have agreed to select candidates through an exam-based admission process.⁴ Each year the system offers approximately 250,000 seats in 700 public schools located in Mexico's Federal District, as well as 22 urban municipalities in the neighboring State of Mexico. This roughly corresponds to 75 percent of the total high school enrollment in the area (10 percent of students are matriculated in other public schools outside of the COMIPEMS system and 15 percent in private schools).

In late January of each year, students in the ninth grade — the final year of middle school — receive information on the admission process. These materials include a list of all available educational options (which in most cases are schools but can also be specific tracks within a school), a measure of the extent to which options feature an excess demand for previous cohorts of applicants, detailed information about the schooling curriculum, as well as the general and specific skills provided.⁵ Applicants complete a registration form, a socio-demographic survey, and a list of up to 20 educational options, which they rank in order of preference. In June of the same year, applicants take a standardized exam consisting of 128 multiple choice questions, covering both subject-specific material from the public school curriculum as well as general mathematical and language skills material. The process of school assignment is carried out in July following a serial dictatorship algorithm that is a

⁴The nine subsystems who offer schools through the COMIPEMS are: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Instituto Politécnico Nacional (IPN), Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México (UAEM), Colegio Nacional de Educación Profesional Técnica (CONALEP), Colegio de Bachilleres (COL-BACH), Dirección General de Educación Tecnológica Industrial (DGETI), Dirección General de Educación Tecnológica Agropecuaria (DGETA), Secretaría de Educación del Gobierno del Estado de México (SE), and Dirección General del Bachillerato (DGB).

⁵Since 2005, past cutoff scores for each schooling option have been made available through the COMIPEMS website. This site is frequently checked by the applicants as it has become the main channel to pre-register and is also a key source of additional information about the system.

special case of the deferred acceptance algorithm characterized by Gale and Shapley [1962].⁶ For our analysis, the assignment mechanism and large maximum number of options suggests that students' listed options are reasonable measures for their underlying preferences over schools.

The Mexican system offers three educational modalities (or tracks) at the upper secondary level: general, technical, and vocational education. The technical modalities include the curriculum covered in a general education program but also incorporate additional courses that allow students to become a technician upon completion of a higher secondary schooling. In turn, a student who choses to receive a vocational education is exclusively trained to become a professional technician. Each school within the COMIPEMS system offers a unique track; in technical and vocational schools, students also choose a specialization. All three modalities allow students to attend tertiary education, although wide disparities exist across tracks in the transition between secondary and tertiary education.⁷

While the system naturally generates ability sorting across schools, sorting across education modalities is less evident in the data. There is, indeed, a large degree of overlap between admission cutoff scores across high school tracks. The support of the cutoff distributions for schools offering technical and vocational programs is embedded in the wider support of cutoffs for schools offering academic programs.

2.2 The *Oportunidades* Program

Oportunidades is a conditional cash transfer (CCT) program that targets poor households in Mexico.⁸ The program was initiated in 1997 in rural areas, and by 2001 it began to expand into semi-urban and urban areas, reaching the area under study in the second half of

⁶The assignment mechanism proceeds as follows. First, the school subsystems report the maximum number of seats available for incoming students. Second, all applicants are ranked by their exam score, from highest to lowest. Third, a computer program scrolls down the ranked list of students, assigning each student to their highest-ranked option with available seats. The process continues until all students are assigned, with the exception of students who did not score enough to enter any of the options they listed. Applicants whose scores are too low to guarantee a seat in any of their preferred schools may be admitted to schools with available seats after the assignment process is over. Alternatively, they can enroll into schools with open admission outside the COMIPEMS system (i.e. private schools or schools outside the COMIPEMS participating municipalities).

⁷Using data from a nationally representative survey of high-school graduates aged 18-20 (ENILEMS, 2012), we document that the probability of enrolling in college among graduates from the technical or vocational tracks is 33 and 38 percentage points, respectively, below that of graduates from the academic track. Similarly, compared to graduates from the academic tracks, the probability of entering the labor force with a high school diploma is 6 and 19 points higher, respectively, among graduates from the technical or vocational tracks.

⁸Details of the program can be found in Hoddinott and Skoufias [2004].

2004. Cash transfers are given on a bimonthly basis to one of the adult household members (usually the mother), and come in two forms. The first is a fixed food stipend which is worth 175 MX\$ (around 16 US\$) per month as from the first half of 2005 and is conditional on family members obtaining preventative medical care. The second is an educational grant which is offered to each household member aged 6-20. To receive the school subsidy, children or youth in participating households must attend school in one of the subsidy-eligible grade levels (grades 3-12) for at least 85 percent of school days. They cannot receive a subsidy more than twice for the same grade.

Transfers increase with each grade, and are higher for girls than for boys starting from the first grade of secondary school. Average monthly transfer amounts per child by education level are: 163 MX\$ for primary, 355 MX\$ (boys), 393 MX\$ (girls) for lower secondary, and 603 MX\$ (boys), 670 MX\$ (girls) for upper secondary. In addition, children who are enrolled in school receive an additional monetary transfer for the acquisition of school supplies at the beginning of the academic year (220 MX\$ for primary and 275 MX\$ for secondary on an annual basis). No household can receive more than 1,055 MX\$ per month from a combination of grants for different children. For households with children who are scholarship recipients at the upper secondary level, the transfer is capped at 1,785 MX\$.⁹

Although the structure of benefits is identical in rural and urban areas, the procedure by which families become beneficiaries differs. To identify eligible households, program officials visit every household in eligible rural localities and undertake a census, collecting information on a range of household socio-economic characteristics which is used to directly determine entitlement to the program benefits. In urban areas, a comprehensive census is deemed to be too costly, thus an element of self-selection in the registration of beneficiaries is introduced. To determine initial eligibility for the urban program, three general steps are taken: (i) identification of high poverty areas to be served; (ii) information campaigns to promote the program; and (iii) identification of eligible families. If a household visits a program center, information is collected through a household questionnaire and these data are further verified during a home visit. A subset of these characteristics is used to apply a previously developed proxy-means targeting approach. Numerical weights are assigned to human capital and household assets, as well as characteristics of their communities, in order to calculate a household poverty index (score), where a higher score denotes a higher level of poverty [Skoufias et al., 2001].

⁹During high school, students can further accumulate funds that are redeemable (under certain conditions) upon graduation from high school. For students registered in the program since the last year of lower secondary school, this additional amount is approximately 3,000 MX\$.

Oportunidades is a centrally run program. The administrative unit in charge of the program is a federal agency that gathers all the relevant data, applies the points allocation system, determines eligibility, issues payments to households, and coordinates service delivery with other federal ministries and agencies. Hence, there are no intermediaries between the federal government and program beneficiaries. This fact helps to limit the opportunities for political manipulation of the program targeting, whereby, for instance, local politicians may have electoral incentives to strategically change the poverty index of some households in order to expand the coverage of the program [Camacho and Conover, 2011].

2.3 Sample Description

We have access to the *Oportunidades* eligibility survey collected in the second half of 2004, which contains information on household socio-demographics and the proxy-means score used to determine program eligibility for households living in the town of *Ecatepec de Morelos*, which is located in the State of Mexico, on the outskirts of Mexico City's Federal District. For program eligible households, i.e. the value of their proxy-mean score is greater than or equal to 0.69, we further observe the bimonthly record of total cash disbursements from 2005 to 2010. Figure A.1 depicts the geographic location of the municipality of *Ecatepec* within the Mexico City's area, and the spatial distribution of the schools participating in the school assignment system. While the choice of such specific location is due to data availability constraints, it is important to stress that the municipality of *Ecatepec* is by and large representative of other municipalities in the Mexico City's area as well as Mexico in general (see Table A.1).

The official data collected within the school assignment system feature applicants' address of residence, socio-demographic characteristics, past schooling trajectories, the ranked lists of schooling options requested during the application process, and related information about students' placement in the system (including their score in the admission exam). Using student identifiers, we merge at the individual level the COMIPEMS data with the *Oportunidades* registries.¹⁰ After excluding applicants who lack basic necessary information, we are left with a final sample of 5,232 students who applied in the school assignment system during

¹⁰All COMIPEMS applicants have a 16 digit unique student identifier (CURP), that is generated by combining information on student's name, surname, date of birth and state of birth, plus a 2 digit random generated number. All the relevant demographic information is collected by the *Oportunidades* survey, allowing us to construct a "pseudo-CURP", that only differs from the student identifier in the 2 digit randomly generated number. After removing all the individuals where there is missing information or a "pseudo-CURP" that can be potentially matched with 2 or more CURPs, we are left with a sample of 6,173 applicants in the age group 14-20.

the period comprised of between 2005 and 2010. Of those, 4,234 (81 percent) were assigned in one of the high school programs offered through the centralized mechanism. Using the same student identifiers mentioned above, we also match roughly half of the applicants in our sample with individual records in the period comprised of between 2008 and 2013 of the national achievement test of 12th graders, which is carried out in all high schools in Mexico (except those belonging to the UNAM subsystem).

In our sample, 3,180 (61 percent) applicants belong to households that are deemed eligible for the CCT benefits. Among program eligible students, 2,161 (68 percent) are reported as receiving at least one cash subsidy before applying to the school assignment system, with a median time elapsed between the first program disbursement and the application to the school assignment system of roughly three years.¹¹ As a result of the process of program expansion in urban areas that took place in the end of 2008, a very small fraction (1.2 percent) of ineligible applicants in our sample are reported as receiving some program benefits before applying to the schooling system. For the median household in our sample, the *Oportunidades* transfer is between 27 and 28 percent of total household income. The large size of the income shock in our sample likely reflects the presence of program-eligible household members who are attending high school (for whom the schooling subsidy is higher, see Section 2.2). In fact, the transfer amount for program eligible families who reside in the city of *Ecatepec de Morelos* and who are not in the COMIPEMS sample are substantially lower, that is, between 14 and 16 percent of total household income for the median household in our sample. Those figures are in line with previous studies that considered the urban component of the *Oportunidades* program [Angelucci and Attanasio, 2013].

Using the school identifiers, we further link the applicants' stated school preferences and assignment with the biannual Mexican school census, which collects information on schoollevel yearly trajectories, e.g. enrollment, failure rate, etc, school infrastructure, as well as detailed information on the attendance fees that students have to incur upon registration in a given school (e.g. tools, uniforms, monthly payments, registration, and tuition). In addition, geocoded information for each school allows us to compute geodesic distances between students' places of residence and the high school programs offered by the school assignment system.

¹¹Program take-up rates are fairly high when compared with the corresponding figures reported in the existing evaluation studies of the urban component of *Oportunidades* [Angelucci and Attanasio, 2009]. Previous studies use the urban evaluation surveys (ENCELURB) which entail roughly 18-24 months between program inception and observed outcomes, whereas we observe eligible households for up to six years after program inception. In fact, take-up rates in our sample increase from 40 percent in 2005 to 79 percent in 2010.

2.4 High School Track Choices

Only 9 percent of the applicants in our sample list a vocational program as their first option, while 44 percent and 47 percent of the applicants, respectively, opt for the technical and the general tracks. On average, when compared to the latter group of students, those who mostly prefer a vocational education tend to perform worse in school (as measured by the score in the admission exam and in the cumulative grade point average in lower secondary), and tend to come from a more disadvantaged socio-economic background (as measured by parental education, the proxy-mean eligibility score and the relative share of those who report to be working, with or without a wage). Among those who choose a technical program, almost 40 percent choose a program that covers mixed (and presumably, more general) specializations, as opposed to the vocational track where the most preferred programs seem to be geared toward the acquisition of rather specific labor market skills (see Figure A.2).

The more frequent use of computers and laboratory equipment in the vocational curriculum may partly explain the fact that vocational schools face higher running costs and hence they tend to charge higher attendance fees while being less geographically accessible for relatively disadvantaged households when compared to technical and academic options.¹² Table 1 shows, separately for each high school track, the mean and standard deviations of total attendance fees and the geodesic distance from the residential locations for a sub-set of COMIPEMS schools that are likely to be the most relevant for the school choices of the applicants in our sample. The average attendance cost for the vocational programs that are most closely located from the students' places of residence is 4,700 MX\$ (around 350 US\$), which roughly corresponds to 15 percent of the median household income in our sample, compared to 3,980 MX\$ and 2,390 MX\$ for the closest general and technical schools, respectively. In addition, the closest general and technical schools are located, on average, 1 km and 2 km away, respectively, whereas the closest vocational school is located 3.3 km away from the applicants' residences (see Panel A). Similar patterns hold when we alternatively consider the 10 nearest options in each high school track (see Panel B).

In order to document basic patterns of labor earning differences across high school tracks in our setting, we employ a sub-module of the national employment survey (ENTELEMS-ENOE, 2008), which records wages and hours worked as well as retrospective information on academic trajectories for individuals aged 16-35. We restrict the sample to individuals who

¹²Amongst all COMIPEMS schools in the year 2007, the number of student per personal computer is 22.5 in the general track and 6.8 in the vocational, while the number of laboratory classrooms is 2.16 and 3.6, respectively. The average yearly attendance fees for vocational programs amounts to 4,195 MX\$ (around 315 US\$) as opposed to 2,794 MX\$ for technical programs costs and 3,772 MX\$ for academic programs.

are not enrolled in school by the time of the survey and who have attended a public high school in Mexico (N=9,763). Mincer-type regressions for log hourly wages reveal the presence of substantial returns to tertiary education that are more pronounced among individuals who attended the general track. Yet, the vocational track is associated with positive returns of 14 percent (std. err.=0.035) and 7 percent (std. err.=0.044) over the general and technical tracks, respectively, among individuals with no tertiary education.¹³

	(1)	(2)	(3)		
	General	Technical	Vocational		
Panel A: Nearest schools by track					
Attendance Fees (MX\$)	3981.27	2387.70	4699.13		
	(1783.69)	(1242.04)	(1086.00)		
Geodesic Distance (Km)	1.26	2.01	3.25		
	(0.98)	(1.22)	(1.77)		
Panel B: Ten nearest schools by track					
Average Attendance Fees (MX\$)	3628.67	2933.91	4515.74		
	(547.96)	(614.57)	(368.24)		
Average Geodesic Distance (Km)	3.88	5.75	8.78		
	(1.19)	(1.13)	(2.23)		

 Table 1: Schooling Costs Across High-School Tracks

NOTES: Nearest schools selected using the geodesic distance between the location of the applicants' residences and the locations of all the COMIPEMS schools. Means and standard deviations (in parenthesis) computed over the applicants in our sample. All mean differences between tracks are statistically significant at the 1 percent level. Total attendance fees refer to the year 2009 (current exchange rate MX\$/US\$=13.33) and include tools, uniforms, monthly payments, registration and tuition. Sources: National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI), and school census (*Formato 911*).

These figures may be possibly affected by selection issues whereby high school graduates from the general and technical tracks who choose not to attend or complete college are difficult to compare with the corresponding graduates from the vocational track. However, it is not clear whether or not students rely on conditional or unconditional market returns when choosing their schooling curriculum. Indeed, subjective expectation data on labor market earnings for a nationally representative sample of high school students are broadly consistent with the observed market returns (see Figure A.3).

¹³The underlying OLS regressions further include dummies for age, gender, successful completion of all examinations in secondary school, urban/rural localities and State of residence. Standard errors are clustered at the State level. The positive returns to vocational high schools do not vary systematically along any of these observable characteristics.

Relative to the other tracks, the vocational curriculum can thus be possibly associated with higher labor market returns for students in relatively poor households, while at the same time entails higher out-of-pocket expenditures. Taken together, these two pieces of evidence may partly explain the low demand for vocational programs among the high school applicants in our sample.

3 Empirical Strategy

3.1 A (Fuzzy) Regression Discontinuity Design

The eligibility for the transfer program depends solely on whether or not the household poverty score exceeds a fixed cutoff, that is time invariant during the period under study and unknown by potential beneficiaries. Hence, the likelihood of receiving the program benefits can be interpreted as the result of the local randomization in a neighborhood of the eligibility cutoff [Lee, 2008].

Formally, let X denote the household eligibility score, c the cutoff value of eligibility, and B a program treatment indicator. The local average treatment effect (LATE) of Oportunidades transfers on track choice (Y) is identified by:

$$\frac{\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \{ E(Y|X = c + \epsilon) - E(Y|X = c - \epsilon) \}}{\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \{ E(B|X = c + \epsilon) - E(B|X = c - \epsilon) \}},$$
(1)

where the numerator in Equation 1 defines the Intention to Treat (ITT) effect of the program transfer on track choices. When the denominator in Equation 1 is exactly one (perfect compliance), the design is said to be sharp. If it is less than one, the design is said to be fuzzy. In this paper, we have a case of fuzzy-RDD as compliance to the program is imperfect (see Sections 2.2-2.3).

Ideally, we would like to estimate both ITT and LATE parameters in the neighborhood of the program eligibility threshold c. Given our sample size, the number of observations around the threshold might be relatively small and thereby may potentially compromise the resulting estimates [Lee and Lemieux, 2010]. Thus, in our main specifications we use a parametric functional form that exploits the entire sample. One potential disadvantage of the parametric approach is the bias produced by individuals who are located further away from the cutoff when $f(\cdot)$ is not correctly specified. For this reason, we complement our results showing the corresponding ITT and LATE estimates of Local Linear Regression (LLR) models that restrict the sample to the observations around the score eligibility cutoff.

In practice, we estimate the ITT effect of the eligibility for the transfer program on track choices of student i using the following specification:

$$Y_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} \mathbf{1}(X_{i} > c) + \beta_{2} f(X_{i} - c) + u_{i},$$
(2)

where β_1 represents the main parameter of interest, and u_i is a mean zero error term. The term $(X_i - c)$ accounts for the influence of the running variable on both track choices and program assignment in a flexible nonlinear function $f(\cdot)$, which is allowed to vary above and below the threshold. u_i is a mean zero error term, which is clustered by values of the poverty score. In order to account for the non-perfect compliance with the transfer program, we estimate the following:

$$B_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{1}(X_i > c) + \alpha_2 f(X_i - c) + \epsilon_i, \tag{3}$$

$$Y_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 B_i + \gamma_2 f(X_i - c) + \eta_i, \tag{4}$$

where B_i takes the value 1 if the household of student *i* is receiving the cash transfer, 0 otherwise, ϵ_i and η_i are mean zero error terms. The main parameter of interest is γ_1 , which captures the LATE of cash transfers on track choices.

In order to identify the parameter β_1 in Equation 2 three main conditions must be satisfied [Imbens and Lemieux, 2008; Lee and Lemieux, 2010]: (i) the assignment variable is continuously distributed around the eligibility cutoff; (ii) the probability of receiving the *Oportunidades* benefits changes discontinuously at the eligibility cutoff; and (iii) in the absence of the treatment, track choices would not display discrete changes around the threshold. Assumption (i) is akin to assuming that households cannot directly or indirectly manipulate the assignment variable in order to be eligible for the transfer, whereas (iii) implies that the distribution of other variables that might be potentially relevant for track choices does not change discontinuously in the neighborhood of the eligibility threshold. Two additional assumptions are needed for (non-parametric) identification of the LATE parameter in Equations 3-4 [Hahn et al., 2001]: (iv) monotonicity of the treatment assignment; and (v) scoring above threshold cannot impact track choices except through the effect of the transfer (exclusion restriction). In the next section, we examine each of these assumptions in turn and discuss their plausibility in our setting.

3.2 Validity of the RD Design

We start by assessing the empirical density of the assignment variable around the cutoff of program eligibility. Martinelli and Parker [2009] find evidence that in order to increase the chances of being eligible for the *Oportunidades* program, households tend to underreport goods and desirable home characteristics. In the absence of perfect monitoring of the self-reported information, we might thus observe a discrete jump in the distribution of the household poverty score immediately above the eligibility threshold, thereby suggesting that households can manipulate the assignment variable. Using all households in our sample with at least one child in the COMIPEMS exam we can formally test for the presence of a discontinuity in the assignment variable at the cutoff of program eligibility, in line with the spirit of McCrary [2008]. Figure 1 depicts a kernel regression interpolation along with the confidence intervals of the empirical distribution of the assignment variable at the points below and above the cutoff.





NOTE: This figure depicts a kernel regression interpolation and the confidence intervals of the empirical distribution of the assignment variable at the points below and above the cutoff. The bin size and the optimal bandwidth are calculated using the procedure described in McCrary [2008].

There is no evidence that in the population under study, households are manipulating

the score in order to increase the probability of being eligible for the transfer. Instead, visual inspection reveals the presence of a small drop (rather than a bump) immediately above the eligibility cutoff, although the null hypothesis of a smooth density cannot be rejected.¹⁴ This pattern is consistent with the fact that after the initial targeting procedures are completed (see Section 2.2), internal audits are conducted in order to verify whether or not potential recipients truly meet the eligibility requirements. These audits led to administrative corrections that eventually resulted in program exclusion for some households who were initially claimed eligible to receive the program benefits.

We next provide evidence in support of the assumption that in the absence of the treatment, track choices would not have changed discretely around the threshold. Figure 2 displays the relationship between the poverty score (x-axis) and a set of socio-demographic characteristics collected in the 2004 program eligibility survey (y-axis): applicants' age and ethnicity; school enrollment and attainment for all children in the applicants' households and households size; and level of parental education. The charts do not reveal the presence of any discrete jump around the eligibility cutoff for these variables. The corresponding regression estimates for the full set of available pre-program socio-demographic characteristics largely confirm these visual patterns (see Table B.2). Overall, this evidence seems difficult to reconcile with the presence of systematic sorting of applicants around the eligibility cutoff, and hence provide support for the hypothesis that the probability of receiving the cash transfers from the program is "as good as" random around the eligibility threshold.

The graph presented in panel (a) of Figure 3 (see Section 4.1) shows clear evidence of a discontinuous change in the probability of taking the cash transfer at the eligibility cutoff. The probability of taking the transfer is remarkably flat for those households where the poverty score is above the eligibility threshold, and this provides some support for the monotonicity assumption of the treatment assignment. A potential violation might have occurred if the cost of complying with the program conditionality had increased with the poverty score, thereby decreasing the likelihood to receive the program benefits for households in the neighborhood of the eligibility cutoff. Neither previous work on *Oportunidades* in urban areas [Gonzalez-Flores et al., 2012] nor the results presented here tend to support this hypothesis.

Finally, the interpretation of the LATE parameter defined in equation 1 crucially relies

 $^{^{14}}$ The point estimate of the log difference in height between the two interpolating kernel regressions is -0.134 (std. err.= 0.074). Parametric tests for the presence of a discrete change in the number of observations around the eligibility cutoff are reported in Table B.1. The resulting estimates are not significantly different from zero.



Figure 2: Covariate Smoothness: Graphical Evidence

(d) Share of Children in School (e) # Children with Prim. Educ. (f) # Children with Sec. Educ.



(g) HH Head Education (years) (h) HH Spouse Education (years)

NOTE: Circles represent sample averages of the dependent variable computed on 0.10 point brackets of the poverty index. The solid line (dashed line) is a local linear regression prediction (least squares running-mean smoothing), separated on either side of the threshold. The figure plots averages of the dependent variable computed over 0.10 consecutive brackets values of the poverty score comprised between -1 and 1, which roughly entails 70 percent of the overall sample of COMIPEMS applicants. The red vertical line denotes the discontinuity, normalized to zero.

on the assumption that scoring above the eligibility threshold can affect school preferences only by affecting the probability of receiving the *Oportunidades* transfer. To our knowledge, there are no other government-sponsored programs that use the same poverty score and its related assignment rule.

4 RD Estimates on Track Choices

4.1 Graphical Analysis

We first conduct a graphical analysis in order to document the discontinuity effects of the program transfers on the likelihood of choosing one of the three educational tracks (vocational, technical and academic) as a first option within the COMIPEMS school assignment system. Figure 3 plots (circles) sample averages computed on 0.10 consecutive brackets of the poverty score along with two non-parametric estimates of the main variables of interest. These estimates are obtained using a separate locally-weighted smoothing regression (dotted lines) and local linear regressions (continuous lines) on the left and right of the cutoff points for a discontinuity sample of one point of poverty score at each side. This roughly entails 70 percent of the overall sample of COMIPEMS applicants.

Jumps in the plots show the effect of crossing the threshold on the variables of interest. This offers a graphical interpretation of the ITT effect as defined by the numerator of equation 1 and the take-up of the *Oportunidades* transfer, the denominator of the same equation. The impact of the transfer on track choices can then be assessed visually by inspecting the ratio of the jump in terms of the probability of choosing a given track, and the jump in the probability of receiving the transfer (panel (a) of Figure 3). The graphical evidence appears to show the presence of a positive effect of the receipt of *Oportunidades* on the probability of choosing a high school program belonging to the vocational track (panel (b)). Accordingly, the transfers appear to be associated with a decrease in the probability of choosing the technical or the academic tracks, with a possibly more pronounced effect for the former. although no clear pattern emerges from visual inspection of panels (c) and (d) of Figure 3. Besides, away from a close neighborhood of the discontinuity there is a clear declining trend in the probability of choosing the vocational track as the poverty score increases, whereas, again, no clear trend emerges for the other two tracks. This provides further (suggestive) evidence for the potential role of liquidity constraints underlying the choice of a vocational program.

4.2 Regression Analysis

In Table 2 we present statistical evidence for the estimation of the β_1 and γ_1 coefficients of Equations 2 and 4. We use a quadratic spline in the poverty score in order to control for the influence of the running variable on both track choices and program assignment. We further include application-year dummies in all specifications in order to account for potentially



Figure 3: Discontinuity Effects of Program Eligibility on Track Choice

NOTE: Circles represent sample averages of the dependent variable computed on 0.10 point brackets of the poverty score. The solid line (dashed line) is a local linear regression prediction (least squares running-mean smoothing), separated on either side of the threshold. For presentational reasons, the figure plots averages of the dependent variable with values of the poverty score comprised between -1 and 1. The red vertical line denotes the discontinuity, normalized to zero.

different trends across the cohorts of applicants in our sample. We first consider the joint decision on the preferred track within the school assignment system using a multinomial logit model that we estimate using the parametric specification described above. The estimated marginal effect of the program eligibility on the probability of choosing the vocational track is 4.7 percentage points, and is statistically significant at 5 percent level (column 1). The corresponding effect on the probability of choosing the academic track as first option is small in magnitude and is not statistically significant (column 2). The probability of choosing the

technical track as first option shows a drop that is comparable in magnitude with the positive effects observed for the vocational track, although it is not statistically different from zero.¹⁵

The remaining rows of Table 2 display the corresponding ITT effects and LATE of the program estimated independently using simple linear probability models for each track as first option. When we account for the imperfect compliance with the *Oportunidades* transfer, we find that the corresponding LATE is equal to 6.2 percentage points. Compared with the 9.2 percent baseline probability of choosing the vocational track as first option, the relative magnitude of this effect is definitely sizable.

	Vocational	General	Technical
Estimator (parameter)	(1)	(2)	(3)
MLOGIT Marginal effects (ITT)			
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	0.047**	0.010	-0.057
	(0.023)	(0.037)	(0.036)
OLS (ITT)			
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	0.040**	0.013	-0.053
	(0.019)	(0.037)	(0.036)
2SLS (IV-LATE)			
Takeup	0.062**	0.020	-0.082
	(0.030)	(0.057)	(0.056)
Mean Dependent Variable	0.092	0.465	0.443
SD Dependent Variable	0.289	0.499	0.497
Number of Observations	5232	5232	5232
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	2907

Table 2: The Effects of Cash Transfers on Track Choices

NOTE: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%. The dependent variable Vocational/General/Technical takes the value 1 if a Vocational/General/Technical option is listed first in the applicant's portfolio. A quadratic spline specification for the poverty score is used at each side of the cutoff. Standard errors clustered by values of the poverty score are reported in parenthesis. Application-year dummies are included in all specifications.

We consider two specification checks. First, we examine the robustness of our findings by choosing different degrees of the polynomial that is supposed to control for the direct

¹⁵The lack of statistical significance for the estimated coefficients in column 3 of Table 2 is arguably related to the relatively small size of our sample. In fact, the standard deviation of the dependent variable nearly doubles in column 3, so that the minimum effect that can be statistically detected at conventional significance levels is much larger.

influence of the poverty score on both track choices and program assignment. Second, we fit local linear regression models for a subset of the observations that are in the neighborhood of the eligibility cutoff, as determined using the optimal bandwidth criterion as in Imbens and Kalyanaraman [2012]. The resulting estimates are displayed in Tables C.1 and C.2, and are remarkably consistent in both significance and magnitude with those just discussed. If anything, the estimated coefficients are slightly larger, e.g. the LATE of the cash transfer on the probability of selecting a vocational option as first choice is equal to 7 percentage points.

5 Channels

5.1 Evidence of Liquidity Constraints

In a simple school choice model with liquidity constraints, the cash transfer provided with the *Oportunidades* program acts as an income shock conditional on school attendance, and thereby tilts choices toward a given track for the students who expect higher benefits.¹⁶ We thus test the hypothesis that the cash transfer primarily affects stated preferences over tracks of relatively low ability students, who are less likely to continue their studies toward tertiary education and thus who would benefit more from a schooling career that prepares them to access the labor market (see Section 2.4).¹⁷ We use information on the overall Grade Point Average (GPA) in lower secondary, and accordingly classify as high-ability and lowability those students with GPA above and below the mean respectively. We then estimate Equations 3 and 4 by allowing the corresponding LATE to vary with the level of ability, and we report the results in column 1 of Table 3. For those with a high GPA, the effect of the cash transfer on the probability of choosing the vocational track as first option is small and is not statistically significant from zero, as opposed to a large and statistically significant effect (11.4 percentage points) for those with a low GPA.

Transportation costs may constrain schooling decisions, especially for low income families. If the cash transfer is changing choices among high school tracks by relaxing households'

¹⁶The conditional component of the transfer may possibly generates a price effect and not an income effect per se. As we cannot empirically disentangle the effects of the conditional and the unconditional component of the transfer in our sample (which is composed exclusively of households with at least one child who is transiting from middle to high school, see Section 2.3), we broadly refer to the effect of the cash transfer on track choices as an income shock.

¹⁷Higher ability students are also more likely be less financially constrained due to higher access to meritbased scholarship at the upper secondary level. For instance, students whose COMIPEMS score are high enough to enter UNAM options are provided with direct access to university programs of the same institution - among the best ones in the country - and are automatically granted a scholarship that covers most of the school-related expenses both at secondary and tertiary level.

liquidity constraints, then we expect its effect on the probability of choosing the vocational track to be stronger among those applicants who live further away from a vocational option. At the same time, we argue that the labor market returns to vocational schools are unlikely to vary systematically across the different locations of the applicants in our sample.¹⁸

Using information on the distance from each applicants' residence to the closest vocational option as a proxy for the transportation cost that they have to sustain in order to attend a vocational program (see Table 1), we estimate how the LATE varies for students who are below or above the mean distance in the sample. These results are reported in column 2 of Table 3. The size of the estimated coefficient is large (6.8 percentage points) and statistically significant for higher-distance students, while it is smaller and not statistically significant for lower-distance students. Consistently with the evidence reported in column 1, these heterogenous track choice responses are more pronounced for students with a low GPA (column 3) whereas they are indistinguishable both from each others and from zero among students with a high GPA. As before, we check and verify the robustness of these results using two alternative specifications for estimating the LATE parameter of Equation 4: cubic splines (Table C.3) and local linear regressions (Table C.4).

Unconditionally on the track chosen, if the receipt of the cash transfer weakens the household liquidity constraints, then we would expect students to choose more expensive schooling options, for instance, in terms of attendance and transportation costs. Results based on the estimation of Equations 3 and 4 using both parametric and non-parametric specifications are reported in Table 4. The LATE of the cash transfer program is positive and significant on the attendance cost associated with the preferred option solicited by the applicants in our sample, with a magnitude in a range comprised between 290 and 344 MX\$ depending on the specification, which corresponds roughly to 13-15 percent of the sample mean of attendance costs for the most preferred schooling options. The LATE on the geodesic distance between the location of the preferred schooling option and applicants' residence is also positive and sizable in magnitude, although it is significantly different from zero in only one of the four different specifications (column 3).

¹⁸The *Ecatepec* municipality is the most densely populated in the country, with a population of 1,656,107 inhabitants (INEGI 2010). Over 1,550 medium and small enterprises are registered in its territory, making the city one of the most industrialized in the country. *Ecatepec* has intense population flows with neighboring municipalities. A large portion of the city's inhabitants commute to the Federal District for labor or academic activities every day. The city also receives a large number of workers commuting from other municipalities.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Sample	All	All	Low GPA	High GPA
Takeup× Low GPA	0.114***			
	(0.032)			
Takeup× High GPA	0.003			
	(0.030)			
Takeup× Low Distance		0.045	0.063	0.036
		(0.031)	(0.051)	(0.039)
Takeup× High Distance		0.068^{**}	0.111^{**}	0.039
		(0.031)	(0.051)	(0.038)
P value: Takeup \times _low=Takeup \times _high	0.000	0.148	0.078	0.865
Observations	5232	5232	2443	2789
Clusters	2907	2907	1739	1916

Table 3: Heterogeneous LATE on Vocational Choice

NOTE: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. 2SLS estimates (quadratic spline specification for the eligibility score). Standard errors clustered by values of the poverty score are reported in parenthesis. High and Low GPA students are those with the overall Grade Point Average (GPA) in lower secondary above and below the mean respectively. High and Low Distance students are those whose distance from the close vocational option is above and below the mean respectively.

5.2 Alternative Mechanisms

One potential concern regarding the interpretation of these findings is that the eligibility for the *Oportunidades* cash transfer may have changed the composition of the COMIPEMS applicants by, for instance, inducing lower-ability students to take part in the school assignment system. Another potential source of selection can be triggered by the positive effect of *Oportunidades* on migration [Angelucci, 2015]. If higher (lower) ability students are more likely to migrate out of the Mexico City metropolitan area upon receiving the transfer, the sample of the high school applicants might potentially display a negative (positive) selection bias. In order to address this concern, we estimate Equation 2 so as to assess the impact of the program eligibility on the probability of taking part in the COMIPEMS system. To do so, we restrict the potential population of applicants in the 2004 *Oportunidades* eligibility survey to those who are currently enrolled in school and whose age matches that of subsequent cohorts of high school applicants over the period 2005-2010 (9-14 years old). The resulting matching rate between the two datasets is 42 percent, which can be explained by potential mismatches in the CURPs, non-universal completion in lower secondary (91 percent in the

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
	Quadratic Spline	Cubic Spline	LLR-1BW	LLR-0.5BW		
Dep	Dependent Variable: Yearly Attendance Fees (MX\$)					
				_		
Takeup	290.081**	285.782^{*}	343.750^{*}	339.498		
	(138.614)	(169.271)	(188.126)	(280.991)		
Moon Don Von	0.024	0.024	0.171	9 100		
Mean Dep. Var.	,	2,234	2,171	2,109		
Observations	5232	5232	2852	1496		
Clusters	2907	2907	1498	762		
Dependent Variable: Geodesic Distance (Km) Between School and Residence						
	0.000	1 000		2 2 4 2		
Takeup	0.686	1.000	2.264^{**}	2.842		
	(0.690)	(0.865)	(1.130)	(1.921)		
Mean Dep. Var.	7.49	7.49	7.61	7.71		
Observations	5232	5232	2075	1072		
Clusters	2907	2907	1073	553		

Table 4: The Effects of Cash Transfers on the Schooling Costs of the First Choice

NOTE: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%. Total attendance fees include tools, uniforms, monthly payments, registration and tuition. 2SLS estimates in columns 1 and 2. Local Linear 2SLS estimates using the triangular Kernel in columns 3 and 4. The bandwidth is chosen according to the optimal criterion in Imbens and Kalyanaraman [2012]. Standard errors clustered by values of the eligibility score are reported in parenthesis. Application-year dummies are included in all specifications.

school year 2013-2014), the rate of absorption into upper secondary (93.6 percent in the same school year), and high school enrollment outside of the COMIPEMS schools.¹⁹ Results based on the estimation of both parametric and non-parametric specifications are reported in the top panel of Table D.1. The estimated ITT effect of cash transfers on the probability of applying to the school assignment system is small and not statistically significant.

We complement this evidence with two additional measures of the academic ability of the pool of applicants in our sample: the score in the assignment exam; and the overall GPA in middle school, as well as the geodesic distance between the closest vocational school and applicants' residence that we employed before (see Table 3). The estimated effects of *Oportunidades* transfers on these outcomes are reported in the bottom panels of Table D.1.

¹⁹Official high school records for the period comprised of between 2005 and 2010 reveal that approximately 30 percent of the student population matriculated in the first year of upper secondary education within the COMIPEMS catchment area is enrolled in high schools that do not take part to the COMIPEMS system.

They are small in magnitude and not statistically different from zero, thereby lending further support to the view that the observed changes in students' preferences across tracks are not the result of changes in the composition of the sample under study.

As described in Section 2.2, *Oportunidades* cash transfers are conditional on health and schooling behaviors. Although there is no component of the transfer that is directly linked to the high school track attended, we can not a priori rule out the possibility that our results are the outcome of the conditional nature of the transfer. The requirement that each eligible child has to be enrolled in school for receiving the scholarship component of the transfer and that she cannot receive the transfer more than twice for the same grade may potentially have a direct effect on school choices. For instance, students may strategically select relatively easier schools so as to increase their chances of gaining admission, passing grades and receiving *Oportunidades* scholarships up until the end of upper secondary.

We use Equation 2 to test whether or not the eligibility for the cash transfer has an impact on the level of selectivity and/or difficulty of the most preferred high school option in the application portfolios of the students in our sample. Given the assignment algorithm (see Section 2.1), one plausibly good proxy measure for the expected probability of admission in a given school is the corresponding cutoff score in the admission exam in the previous year (which is observable by the applicants). Regression results reported in the top panel of Table D.2 show that, irrespective of the specification, the impact of the eligibility to the cash transfers on the cutoff score of the first option requested in the school assignment system is small and not statistically significant from zero. We next employ two measures of school difficulty. First, the school-average performance amongst 12th graders in a national standardized achievement test in 2008 in both language and math. Second, the school-level June pass rates in the academic year 2005-2006. The corresponding estimates are reported in the bottom panels of Table D.2, and mostly reveal no effects of the eligibility to the Oportunidades cash transfer within those margins.²⁰ Overall, we interpret these results as evidence that the findings discussed in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 are unlikely to be directly or indirectly related to the compliance to the program conditionality among children in program eligible households.

By relaxing the household financial constraints, the *Progresa-Oportunidades* cash transfers have been found to increase entry into entrepreneurship of the beneficiary parents [Bianchi and Bobba, 2013]. Hence, an indirect channel through which the program may have tilted high school track choices toward vocational options is through parental occupation

 $^{^{20}}$ A few ITT effects reported in Table D.2 appear statistically significant in some specifications (negative for the 12th grade score and positive for the pass rate).

choices. We test this hypothesis using information on the occupational categories included in the COMIPEMS application surveys and constructing indicator variables for whether or not either the father or the mother of the students in our sample are self-employed. Table D.3 reports the corresponding ITT estimates. Results show that they are positive for fathers and negative for mothers but, once again, they are small in magnitude and not statistically different from zero.

6 RD Estimates on Schooling Trajectories

6.1 School Assignment

In order to document the medium-term consequences of high school track choices under liquidity constraints, we start by establishing whether or not the observed change in preferences over tracks triggered by the cash transfer affects admission outcomes within the school assignment system. On average, technical options display lower attendance and transportation costs than vocational ones (see Table 1), but they also require higher scores in the admission exam. Therefore, more financially constrained students might *de facto* reduce the probability of being assigned to their first option in the attempt to pursue a more affordable one. For this purpose, we study whether or not the cash transfers provided by the program increase the probability of being assigned to the first solicited option. The estimated LATE is reported in the column 1 of Table 5 and shows a 7.8 percentage point increase, which is statistically significant at the 10 percent level. This is a large effect, as it corresponds to roughly one quarter of the average probability of assignment in the first solicited option in the sample.

We then study whether or not measures of ability and liquidity constraints can shape the effect of the transfer on the probability of being assigned to the first option. Since the GPA is strongly correlated with the COMIPEMS score that determines the assignment, it is unlikely to observe large differences in assignment for those within a relatively small GPA interval. Consistently, we find that the size of the LATE is basically the same for Low and High GPA students, although both coefficients are not statistically different from zero. If the change in school preferences driven by the transfer is the result of weaker liquidity constraints, we expect individuals who live further away from vocational schools to display a higher probability of being assigned to their first option. Using the same definition of High and Low distance as in Table 3, we indeed find that the LATE for the former is almost double in size than the LATE for the latter (column 2), although we cannot reject the null hypothesis at conventional levels that the two coefficients are the same.

The increased probability of assignment to the first option might not be necessarily driven by a higher probability of opting for the vocational track. Without modifying the preferred track choice, more liquidity constrained students may, in principle, have used the *Oportunidades* transfer to access more selective (and potentially more expensive) options. However, the evidence reported above is not consistent with any change induced by the program in the selectivity of the first option (see Table D.2), and/or in the applicants' scores in the COMIPEMS exams (see Table D.1). Since these two are the only possible margins along which the program might have altered assignment outcomes in this setting, we are more inclined to interpret the observed changes in assignment as the result of a preference shift between, rather than within, tracks.

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Takeup	0.078^{*}		
	(0.047)		
Takeup× Low GPA		0.073	
1		(0.057)	
Takeup \times High GPA		0.077	
1 0		(0.049)	
Takeup \times Low Distance			0.054
-			(0.052)
Takeup \times High Distance			0.106**
			(0.053)
P value: Takeup×_low=Takeup×_high		0.934	0.145
Mean Dep. Var.	0.301	0.301	0.301
Observations	5232	5232	5232
Clusters	2907	2907	2907

Table 5: The Effect of Cash Transfers on the Probability of Assignment to the First Choice

NOTE: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. 2SLS estimates (quadratic spline specification for the poverty score). Standard errors clustered by values of the poverty score in parenthesis. The score in the COMIPEMS exam (in deciles), and application-year dummies are included in all specifications.

6.2 High School Outcomes

Taken together, the evidence presented so far suggests that, by relaxing the financial constraints, cash transfers can affect preferences over high school tracks among students from low socioeconomic background and their probability of being assigned to the preferred option. In this section, we test whether by inducing more students to choose the vocational track as preferred high school track, the *Oportunidades* transfer led to an improvement in on-time high school completion, as proxied by the probability of taking the ENLACE 12th grade standardized exam three years after the COMIPEMS entry exam. The 12th grade test is given to students who are on track to graduate at the end of the academic year, and previous work [Dustan et al., 2017] has found that it is a good proxy for the probability of completing high school on time.

There are three main reasons why we focus on a proxy of on-time graduation when assessing the medium-term impact of the change in preferences over high school tracks. First, as already discussed above, in Mexico the share of students who complete high school on time is extremely low, and even lower among those in the vocational track. This might be the result of a mismatch between students and school characteristics, partly driven by the financial constraints that prevent students from choosing the school type that better fits their talents and aspirations. Second, by looking at on-time completion we can clearly disentangle the effect of the *Oportunidades* transfer induced by the change in preferences over high school tracks from the one induced by the conditionality of the high school component of the transfer (see Section 2.2). The transfer is temporarily suspended for those months where the attendance requirements are not met, and permanently suspended if the beneficiary failed to attend school for two semesters, and received the high school component for four years. Therefore, improvements in outcomes such as enrollment and attendance can be potentially driven by the improved match between school and student characteristics as well as by the program conditionality. This is not the case for on-time completion. Since the scholarship is suspended after four years, the program conditionality does not provide incentives to complete high school in the statutory three years. On the contrary, the change in high school preferences associated with the relaxation of the liquidity constraints should be associated with a higher probability of completing high school on time, partly as a result of a better match. Finally, the take-up of the ENLACE 12th grade exam is the only high school outcome for which centralized administrative information is available. By matching the COMIPEMS takers in Ecatepec with the nationwide universe of ENLACE 12th grade exam takers, we are able to follow students irrespective of whether they have remained in the high school they had been originally assigned or not.

We use the specifications presented in Equations 3 and 4 in order to estimate the LATE of the cash transfer on the probability of taking the ENLACE 12th grade test three years after taking the COMIPEMS entry test. We contrast the results for those who took the ENLACE 12th grade being registered in a vocational school (column 1 in Table 6) with those who took the test either being registered in a general or a technical school (column 3 in Table 6). We find that receiving cash transfers led to an increase in the probability of taking the 12th grade test on time for students in a professional option, by 2.7 percentage points. Although the coefficient is not statistically significant, the size of the effect is not negligible since it corresponds to 10 percent of the variable's standard deviation. Receiving *Oportunidades* has a negative and marginally significant effect on the probability of taking the test as a final year student either of a general or a technical school. The negative coefficient in column 3 may possibly capture the interaction between the disincentives to graduate on time generated by the conditionality attached to the high school component of the *Oportunidades* transfer, and the very strict promotion rules of the high school system in Mexico. Students must pass five out of eight disciplinary subject areas and practical modules, otherwise they have to repeat the semester. Students who fail three or fewer subject areas can enroll in the next semester but they have to attend and pass intensive courses (the so called *regularizacion*) during a fixed time window. Partly as a result of the strict promotion rules, there are very high grade repetition and subject repetition rates, 15.3 percent and 31.3 percent respectively in 2013. Previous work has documented the perverse effect of *Oportunidades* on school performance in lower secondary [Dubois et al., 2012]. When we compare the LATE effects in columns 1 and 3, we can reject the null hypothesis that the two coefficients are not statistically different. We interpret this as evidence that for students attending a vocational school, the positive effect of *Oportunidades* on school performance generated through a change in school track preferences is larger than the negative one driven by the transfer's conditionalities.

In sections 5 and 6.1 we have documented that the effect of *Oportunidades* on the probability of choosing a vocational school as preferred option and on the probability of being assigned to the first option increases with the distance between applicants' places of residence and the closest vocational school. Our preferred explanation is that students who live further away are the ones who are more liquidity constrained and they are the ones who are expected to benefit most from the increase in available resources. Consistently, we find that the LATE on on-time high school completion is statistically larger for those who live further away (column 2 in Table 6). In order to rule out the possibility that the heterogeneity in distance is driven by confounding factors - e.g., *Oportunidades* might have differentially increased the returns to education in more remote areas, we test whether the LATE on on-time graduation for tracks other than the vocational one varies with distance, but we find no evidence to support this hypothesis (column 4 in Table 6).

Overall, the evidence presented in this section is highly suggestive that the change in preferences over high school tracks induced by the cash transfer led to medium term improvements in school performance. One potential concern is that the differential effect of *Oportunidades* on school completion across different school tracks is driven by compositional changes in student ability. However, the results in Table D.1 do not support this hypothesis.

	Vocational on Time		Any Other Track on Time	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Takeup	0.027		-0.092*	
	(0.028)		(0.049)	
Takeup× Low Distance		0.006		-0.094*
		(0.030)		(0.053)
Takeup× High Distance		0.051^{*}		-0.078
		(0.030)		(0.053)
P value: Takeup×_low = Takeup×_high		0.048		0.684
Mean Dep. Var.	0.073	0.073	0.326	0.326
Observations	5059	5059	5059	5059
Clusters	2856	2856	2856	2856

Table 6: The Effect of Cash Transfers on High School On-Time Completion

NOTE: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. 2SLS estimates (quadratic spline specification for the poverty score). Standard errors clustered by values of the poverty score in parenthesis. The score in the COMIPEMS exam (in deciles), and application-year dummies are included in all specifications.

7 Conclusions

In spite of substantial differences in labor market returns by fields of study, there is little systematic evidence available on the factors underlying students' (and parents') demand for different curriculums (or tracks) in secondary education. Financial constraints can induce students from disadvantaged backgrounds to opt for schooling options that do not correspond with either their skills or their career expectations. In this paper, we explore the extent to which differences in cost and benefit across high school tracks affect school choice decisions in the context of a high-stake assignment mechanism in the metropolitan area of Mexico City. Quasi-experimental evidence that stems from the discontinuity in the assignment of the *Oportunidades* cash transfer program documents that an income shock increases the probability of choosing the vocational track for the sub-population of students in the neighborhood of the program eligibility cutoff by 6-7 percentage points. Although this is, by construction, a local effect that is difficult to extrapolate to other segments of the student population, it draws on a policy-relevant group of low-income students in an urban setting within a large developing country.

Consistent with a simple school choice model with liquidity constraints, this effect is more pronounced amongst students who are more likely to reap higher returns from a vocational education (i.e. lower GPA in lower secondary) and amongst those who are likely to face higher transportation costs in accessing the associated school facilities. We have also shown that these short-run responses to the cash transfer can translate into better academic trajectories in high school, specially among those groups of applicants that are more likely to be ex-ante liquidity constrained.

Our findings reveal the presence of an untapped demand for vocational education that is potentially hindered by credit market imperfections. In this sense, demand-side subsidies which partly cover attendance and/or transportation costs (e.g. school vouchers) may be effective policy tools for increasing enrollment rates in vocational education at the secondary level. In many developing countries, including Mexico, there are concerns about the potential negative implications of centralized assignment systems on school segregation, and more broadly on the inequality of opportunities, with applicants from a more disadvantaged socioeconomic background being systematically assigned to low performing schools. Our results show that policy interventions that partly attenuate household liquidity constraints can significantly reduce the scope for segregation in centralized assignment systems.

References

- Altonji, J., Arcidiacono, P. and Maurel, A. [2016], Chapter 7 the analysis of field choice in college and graduate school: Determinants and wage effects, Vol. 5 of Handbook of the Economics of Education, Elsevier, pp. 305 – 396.
- Altonji, J. G. [1995], 'The Effects of High School Curriculum on Education and Labor Market Outcomes', *Journal of Human Resources* **30**(3), 409–438.
- Altonji, J. G., Blom, E. and Meghir, C. [2012], 'Heterogeneity in Human Capital Investments: High School Curriculum, College Major, and Careers', Annual Review of Economics 4(1), 185–223.
- Angelucci, M. [2015], 'Migration and Financial Constraints: Evidence from Mexico', The Review of Economics and Statistics 97(1), 224–228.
- Angelucci, M. and Attanasio, O. [2009], 'Oportunidades: Program Effect on Consumption, Low Participation, and Methodological Issues', *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 57(3), 479–506.
- Angelucci, M. and Attanasio, O. [2013], 'The Demand for Food of Poor Urban Mexican Households: Understanding Policy Impacts Using Structural Models', American Economic Journal: Economic Policy 5(1), 146–78.
- Attanasio, O., Kugler, A. and Meghir, C. [2011], 'Subsidizing Vocational Training for Disadvantaged Youth in Colombia: Evidence from a Randomized Trial', American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 3(3), 188–220.
- Attanasio, O. P. and Kaufmann, K. M. [2014], 'Education choices and returns to schooling: Mothers' and youths' subjective expectations and their role by gender', *Journal of Development Economics* 109(C), 203–216.
- Banerjee, A., Karlan, D. and Zinman, J. [2015], 'Six Randomized Evaluations of Microcredit: Introduction and Further Steps', *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 7(1), 1–21.
- Banerjee, A. V. and Newman, A. F. [1993], 'Occupational Choice and the Process of Development', Journal of Political Economy 101(2), 274–98.
- Barro, R. J. and Lee, J. W. [2013], 'A new data set of educational attainment in the world, 1950?2010', *Journal of Development Economics* **104**(C), 184–198.
- Bianchi, M. and Bobba, M. [2013], 'Liquidity, Risk, and Occupational Choices', Review of Economic Studies 80(2), 491–511.
- Blattman, C., Fiala, N. and Martinez, S. [2014], 'Generating Skilled Self-Employment in Developing Countries: Experimental Evidence from Uganda', *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 129(2), 697–752.
- Bobba, M. and Frisancho, V. [2016], Learning about Oneself: The Effects of Performance Feedback on School Choice, IZA Discussion Papers 10360, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA).
- Camacho, A. and Conover, E. [2011], 'Manipulation of Social Program Eligibility', American Economic Journal: Economic Policy 3(2), 41–65.

- Card, D., Ibarraran, P., Regalia, F., Rosas-Shady, D. and Soares, Y. [2011], 'The Labor Market Impacts of Youth Training in the Dominican Republic', *Journal of Labor Economics* 29(2), 267 – 300.
- Dahl, G. B. and Lochner, L. [2012], 'The Impact of Family Income on Child Achievement: Evidence from the Earned Income Tax Credit', *American Economic Review* **102**(5), 1927–56.
- Deming, D. J., Hastings, J. S., Kane, T. J. and Staiger, D. O. [2014], 'School Choice, School Quality, and Postsecondary Attainment', *American Economic Review* **104**(3), 991–1013.
- Di Gropello, E. [2006], Meeting the Challenges of Secondary Education in Latin America and East Asia : Improving Efficiency and Resource Mobilization, number 7173 in 'World Bank Publications', The World Bank.
- Dubois, P., de Janvry, A. and Sadoulet, E. [2012], 'Effects on School Enrollment and Performance of a Conditional Cash Transfer Program in Mexico', *Journal of Labor Economics* 30(3), 555 589.
- Dustan, A. [2014], Peer Networks and School Choice under Incomplete Information. Working Paper.
- Dustan, A., de Janvry, A. and Sadoulet, E. [2017], 'Flourish or Fail? The Risky Reward of Elite High School Admission in Mexico City', *Journal of Human Resources*.
- Dustmann, C., Puhani, P. A. and Schönberg, U. [2014], The Long-Term Effects of Early Track Choice, IZA Discussion Papers 7897, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA).
- Fryer, R. J., Levitt, S. D. and List, J. A. [2015], Parental Incentives and Early Childhood Achievement: A Field Experiment in Chicago Heights, NBER Working Papers 21477, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.
- Gale, D. and Shapley, L. S. [1962], 'College Admissions and the Stability of Marriage', *The American Mathematical Monthly* **69**(1), 9–15.
- Ghatak, M., Morelli, M. and Sjöström, T. [2001], 'Occupational Choice and Dynamic Incentives', *Review of Economic Studies* 68(4), 781–810.
- Giustinelli, P. [2016], 'Group Decision Making With Uncertain Outcomes: Unpacking the Role of Child-Parent Choice of the High School Track', *International Economic Review* **57**(2), 573–602.
- Gonzalez-Flores, M., Heracleous, M. and Winters, P. [2012], 'Leaving the Safety Net: An Analysis of Dropouts in an Urban Conditional Cash Transfer Program', World Development 40(12), 2505–2521.
- Hahn, J., Todd, P. and Van der Klaauw, W. [2001], 'Identification and Estimation of Treatment Effects with a Regression-Discontinuity Design', *Econometrica* **69**(1), 201–09.
- Hastings, J. S. and Weinstein, J. M. [2008], 'Information, School Choice, and Academic Achievement: Evidence from Two Experiments', *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 123(4), 1373–1414.
- Hoddinott, J. and Skoufias, E. [2004], 'The Impact of PROGRESA on Food Consumption', Economic Development and Cultural Change 53(1), 37–61.

- Imbens, G. and Kalyanaraman, K. [2012], 'Optimal Bandwidth Choice for the Regression Discontinuity Estimator', *Review of Economic Studies* 79(3), 933–959.
- Imbens, G. W. and Lemieux, T. [2008], 'Regression discontinuity designs: A guide to practice', Journal of Econometrics 142(2), 615–635.
- Jensen, R. [2010], 'The (Perceived) Returns to Education and The Demand for Schooling', *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **125**(2), 515–548.
- Kaufmann, K. M. [2014], 'Understanding the income gradient in college attendance in Mexico: The role of heterogeneity in expected returns', *Quantitative Economics* 5(3), 583–630.
- Lee, D. S. [2008], 'Randomized experiments from non-random selection in U.S. House elections', Journal of Econometrics 142(2), 675–697.
- Lee, D. S. and Lemieux, T. [2010], 'Regression Discontinuity Designs in Economics', *Journal* of Economic Literature 48(2), 281–355.
- Lochner, L. and Monge-Naranjo, A. [2012], 'Credit Constraints in Education', Annual Review of Economics 4, 225–256.
- Martinelli, C. and Parker, S. W. [2009], 'Deception and misreporting in a social program', Journal of the European Economic Association 7(4), 886–908.
- McCrary, J. [2008], 'Manipulation of the running variable in the regression discontinuity design: A density test', *Journal of Econometrics* 142(2), 698–714.
- Meer, J. [2007], 'Evidence on the returns to secondary vocational education', *Economics of Education Review* **26**(5), 559–573.
- Mizala, A. and Urquiola, M. [2013], 'School markets: The impact of information approximating schools' effectiveness', *Journal of Development Economics* **103**(C), 313–335.
- Skoufias, E., Davis, B. and de la Vega, S. [2001], 'Targeting the Poor in Mexico: An Evaluation of the Selection of Households into PROGRESA', World Development 29(10), 1769– 1784.
- Solis, A. [forthcoming], Credit Access and College Enrollment, Technical report.
- Tarozzi, A., Desai, J. and Johnson, K. [2015], 'The Impacts of Microcredit: Evidence from Ethiopia', American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 7(1), 54–89.
A Descriptive Evidence

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Ecatepec	Other Mun. in	Federal	Rest of
		State of Mexico	District	Mexico
Panel A: Firms' characteristics				
Firm Size	3.50	4.12	7.83	4.71
Gross VA per worker (MX $ thous.)$	570.5	720.5	2517.4	756.5
K per firm (MX\$ thous.)	408.2	698.0	1533.1	883.1
Panel B: Labor market				
Unemployment rate	0.045	0.046	0.063	0.040
Labor earnings (hourly MX\$)	25.77	27.61	34.61	30.97
Panel C: Socio-demographics				
School enrollment rate (age 6-14)	0.90	0.89	0.91	0.82
Poverty index	2.67	2.87	1.41	2.05
Panel D: COMIPEMS applicants				
Family income (categorical)	4.67	4.71	5.47	
Father educ. (categorical)	5.49	5.40	6.14	
Mother educ. (categorical)	5.00	4.94	5.63	
Indigenous	0.045	0.046	0.029	
Probability of assignment	0.82	0.81	0.77	
First option in the same Municipality	0.45	0.35	0.40	
First option is over-subscribed	0.55	0.51	0.70	
First option is vocational	0.07	0.08	0.07	
First option is general	0.53	0.63	0.72	
First option is technical	0.40	0.29	0.21	

Table A.1: Comparison of Mean Characteristics of the Municipality of Ecatepec with Mexico City and Rest of Mexico

SOURCE: Mexican economic census, 2008 (Panel A), labor market survey, 2008 (Panel B), population census, 2000 (Panel C), and COMIPEMS administrative data, 2008 (Panel D).



Figure A.1: The Geographic Distribution of COMIPEMS Options

NOTES: This map reports the geographic locations of the schooling options that participate to the COMIPEMS assignment system during the period 2005-2010. The thick black line denotes the geographic border between the Federal District and the State of Mexico. The thin grey lines indicate the borders of the different municipalities that participate in the COMIPEMS system. The quadrant in the up-right corner displays a close-up view of the Municipality of *Ecatepec* in which the markers reflect the locations of the middle-schools of origin for the applicants in our sample.



Figure A.2: Type of Preferred Technical Program (1st Option) by Track

NOTE: This figure reports the within track composition among the applicants' first choices for some aggregated categories of curricular sub-specializations that allow for a direct comparison between the vocational and the technical tracks. Figure A.3: Subjective Expectations about Monthly Earnings for High School graduates by Track



NOTES: For each academic track, we report students' expected income distribution within 5 years from high school graduation, in case they decide not to continue their studies and obtain a university degree. Nationally-representative sample of students who take a standardized end of high school test (ENLACE, 2009).

B Validity of the RD Design: Further Evidence

	(1)	(2)				
	Quadratic Spline	Cubic Spline				
Dependent Variable: Nb of obs. per bin of the eligibility score						
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-4.303	-8.231				
	(6.664)	(8.329)				
Mean Dependent Variable	42.702	42.702				
Number of Observations	5232	5232				
Number of Clusters	195	195				

Table B.1: Parametric Density Test

NOTE: Standard errors clustered by bin of the eligibility score in parenthesis. Bin size calculation based on McCrary [2008].

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
	Quadratic Spline	Cubic Spline	LLR-1BW	LLR-0.5BW		
	Dependent Variable: Ap					
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.005	-0.019	-0.013	0.031		
	(0.064)	(0.078)	(0.075)	(0.106)		
Mean Dep. Var.	15.440	15.440	15.437	15.449		
Number of Obs	5232	5232	3058	1621		
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	1618	833		
Depend	ent Variable: Applicant	is Indigenous (- ,			
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.010	-0.012	-0.015	-0.007		
	(0.023)	(0.028)	(0.025)	(0.035)		
Mean Dep. Var.	0.095	0.095	0.084	0.088		
Number of Obs	5232	5232	3162	1696		
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	1682	876		
Depe	endent Variable: Numbe	er of HH membe	ers			
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.043	0.066	-0.002	0.113		
	(0.108)	(0.132)	(0.113)	(0.154)		
Mean Dep. Var.	5.101	5.101	4.914	4.914		
Number of Obs	5232	5232	3401	1858		
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	1819	955		
Depender	t Variable: Number of l	Disabled HH m	embers			
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	0.031	0.034	0.037	0.009		
	(0.028)	(0.035)	(0.035)	(0.046)		
Mean Dep. Var.	0.105	0.105	0.099	0.101		
Number of Obs	5232	5232	2711	1428		
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	1427	723		
Dependent	Variable: Share of Chil	dren Enrolled i	n School			
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.013	-0.028	-0.010	-0.043		
	(0.016)	(0.020)	(0.021)	(0.028)		
Mean Dep. Var.	0.900	0.900	0.897	0.907		
Number of Obs	5232	5232	2408	1285		
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	1257	647		
Depende	Dependent Variable: Number of Children in Primary					
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.029	-0.036	-0.050	-0.023		
· · · /	(0.074)	(0.092)	(0.072)	(0.096)		
Mean Dep. Var.	1.570	1.570	1.474	1.449		
Number of Obs	5232	5232	4168	2367		
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	2230	1233		

 Table B.2: Covariate Smoothness

Continued on Next Page.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Quadratic Spline	Cubic Spline	LLR-1BW	LLR-0.5BW
Dependent Va	ariable: Number of (ondary	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.037	-0.025	0.006	0.107
	(0.084)	(0.104)	(0.100)	(0.148)
Mean Dep. Var.	0.807	0.807	0.823	0.810
Number of Obs	5232	5232	3126	1673
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	1657	863
Dependent Variab	ole: HH Head's Edu	cation Attainme	ent (years)	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.144	-0.141	-0.150	-0.528
	(0.209)	(0.257)	(0.257)	(0.349)
Mean Dep. Var.	6.583	6.583	6.778	6.636
Number of Obs	5232	5232	2711	1428
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	1427	723
Dependent Variab	e: HH Spouse's Edu	acation Attainm	nent (years)	
Score>Cutoff $\overline{(1 \text{ yes})}$	0.081	0.125	0.240	0.266
	(0.200)	(0.245)	(0.258)	(0.369)
Mean Dep. Var.	5.126	5.126	5.329	5.233
Number of Obs	5232	5232	3010	1587
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	1589	814
Dependen	t Variable: HH Hea	d Working (1 y	es)	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.034	-0.019	-0.035	-0.011
	(0.025)	(0.033)	(0.031)	(0.045)
Mean Dep. Var.	0.905	0.905	0.901	0.903
Number of Obs	5232	5232	3434	1877
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	1840	965
Dependent	Variable: HH Spou	se Working (1 y	yes)	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.002	-0.059*	-0.039	-0.047
	(0.028)	(0.036)	(0.033)	(0.046)
Mean Dep. Var.	0.099	0.099	0.104	0.104
Number of Obs	5232	5232	3010	1587
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	1589	814
Joint Significance Test (p-value) 7.88 (0.724)				

NOTE: * significant at 10%. OLS estimates for a polynomial specification in columns 1 and 2. Local Linear Regression estimates using the triangular Kernel in columns 3 and 4. The bandwidth is chosen for each dependent variable according to the optimal criterion in Imbens and Kalyanaraman [2012]. Standard errors clustered by values of the eligibility score in parenthesis. Application-year dummies are included in all specifications.

C RD Estimates: Alternative Specifications

	Vocational	General	Technical
Estimator (parameter)	(1)	(2)	(3)
MLOGIT Marginal effects (ITT)			
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	0.052*	0.002	-0.054
	(0.028)	(0.047)	(0.045)
OLS (ITT)			
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	0.046*	0.008	-0.054
	(0.024)	(0.046)	(0.045)
2SLS (IV-LATE)			
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	0.071*	0.012	-0.083
	(0.037)	(0.071)	(0.070)
Mean Dependent Variable	0.092	0.465	0.443
SD Dependent Variable	0.289	0.499	0.497
Number of Observations	5232	5232	5232
Number of Clusters	2907	2907	2907

Table C.1: The Effects of Cash Transfers on Track Choices - Cubic Splines

NOTE: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. Cubic spline specification for the eligibility score. Standard errors clustered by values of the eligibility score in parenthesis. The dependent variable Vocational/General/Technical takes the value 1 if a Vocational/General/Technical option is listed first in the applicant's portfolio.

Estimator (parameter)	Vocational	General	Technical
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Local Linear Regression (ITT)			
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	- 0.046**	0.015	-0.068
	(0.020)	(0.043)	(0.045)
Local Linear Regression (IV-LATE)			
Takeup	0.070**	0.024	-0.106
	(0.031)	(0.066)	(0.071)
Mean Dependent Variable	0.090	0.473	0.444
SD Dependent Variable	0.286	0.499	0.497
Number of Observations	3908	3143	2792
Number of Clusters	2093	1670	1468

Table C.2: The Effects of Cash Transfers on Track Choices - Local Linear Regressions

NOTE: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%.

Local Linear Regression estimates using the triangular Kernel. Standard errors clustered by values of the eligibility score in parenthesis. The bandwidth is chosen according to the optimal criterion in Imbens and Kalyanaraman [2012].

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Sample	All	All	Low GPA	High GPA
Takeup× Low GPA	0.125***			
	(0.039)			
Takeup× High GPA	0.013			
	(0.037)			
Takeup× Low Distance		0.055	0.095	0.029
		(0.038)	(0.063)	(0.049)
Takeup× High Distance		0.079**	0.143**	0.033
		(0.038)	(0.063)	(0.048)
P value H0: treatX_low=treatX_high	0.000	0.152	0.079	0.840
Observations	5232	5232	2443	2789
Clusters	2907	2907	1739	1916

Table C.3: Heterogeneous LATE on Vocational Choice - Cubic Splines

NOTE: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. 2SLS estimates (cubic spline specification for the eligibility score). Standard errors clustered by values of the poverty score are reported in parenthesis.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Sample	All	All	Low GPA	High GPA
Takeup \times Low GPA	0.133***			
	(0.037)			
Takeup× High GPA	0.003			
	(0.030)			
Takeup \times Low Distance		0.047	0.087	0.026
		(0.033)	(0.058)	(0.040)
Takeup× High Distance		0.085**	0.127**	0.064
		(0.034)	(0.058)	(0.041)
P value H0: treatX_low=treatX_high	0.000	0.122	0.350	0.177
Observations	3908	3908	1846	2062
Clusters	2093	2093	1280	1394

Table C.4: Heterogeneous Effects on Vocational Choice - Local Linear Regressions

NOTE: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%. Local Linear 2SLS estimates using the triangular Kernel. Standard errors clustered by values of the poverty score are reported in parenthesis. The bandwidth is chosen according to the optimal criterion in Imbens and Kalyanaraman [2012].

D Alternative Mechanisms

Table D.1: Test for Compositional Changes					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
	Quadratic Spline	Cubic Spline	LLR-1BW	LLR-0.5BW	
Dependent Var	riable: Individual i	n COMIPEMS	registries (1	yes)	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	0.006	-0.029	0.008	-0.028	
	(0.025)	(0.032)	(0.026)	(0.036)	
Mean Dep. Var.	0.421	0.421	0.446	0.449	
Observations	12428	12428	8549	4782	
Clusters	4924	4924	3301	1803	
Depende	ent Variable: Score	in the COMIP	EMS Exam		
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.966	0.063	-0.136	0.186	
Score>Cuton (1 yes)	(1.260)	(1.534)	(1.433)	(1.963)	
Mean Dep. Var.	55.8	55.8	56.4	56.5	
Observations	5232	5232	$30.4 \\ 3015$	1587	
Clusters	2907	2907	1592	814	
D					
Deper	ndent Variable: Gl	PA in Lower Se	condary		
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.009	0.048	0.041	-0.053	
	(0.058)	(0.069)	(0.084)	(0.110)	
Mean Dep. Var.	7.35	7.35	7.32	7.24	
Observations	5232	5232	1861	955	
Clusters	2907	2907	957	487	
Dependent Variable:	Geodesic Distance	e (Km) to the C	Closest Vocati	ional School	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	0.026	0.011	0.088	0.322	
	(0.132)	(0.162)	(0.179)	(0.249)	
Mean Dep. Var.	3.04	3.04	2.99	3.02	
Observations	5232	5232	2370	1273	
Clusters	2907	2907	1234	640	

Table D.1: Test for Compositional Changes

NOTE: OLS estimates for a polynomial specification in columns 1 and 2. Local Linear Regression estimates using the triangular Kernel in columns 3 and 4. The bandwidth is chosen according to the optimal criterion in Imbens and Kalyanaraman [2012]. Standard errors clustered by values of the eligibility score are reported in parenthesis. Application-year dummies are included in all specifications.

		-		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Quadratic Spline	Cubic Spline	LLR-1BW	LLR-0.5BW
Deper	ident Variable: Cut	off score in Pre	vious Year	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-1.057	0.236	-0.016	-0.527
	(1.490)	(1.871)	(2.054)	(3.013)
Mean Dep. Var.	67.996	67.996	68.528	68.632
Observations	5232	5232	2508	1332
Clusters	2907	2907	1316	670
Depende	nt Variable: Mean	12th Grade Sco	ore (Spanish)	
				-
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.031	-0.040	-0.075	-0.134**
	(0.033)	(0.039)	(0.049)	(0.064)
Mean Dep. Var.	0.388	0.388	0.379	0.373
Observations	5232	5232	2289	1216
Clusters	2907	2907	1187	621
Depend	ent Variable: Mean	12th Grade Sc	core (Math)	
			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.043	-0.042	-0.066	-0.128*
	(0.043)	(0.051)	(0.055)	(0.075)
Mean Dep. Var.	0.437	0.437	0.432	0.420
Observations	5232	5232	3098	1652
Clusters	2907	2907	1638	851
	Dependent Variabl	e: June Pass R	ate	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	0.017^{*}	0.010	0.011	0.003
	(0.009)	(0.011)	(0.013)	(0.018)
Mean Dep. Var.	0.660	0.660	0.659	0.663
Observations	5232	5232	2445	1305
Clusters	2907	2907	1281	658

Table D.2: Measures of School Selectivity/Difficulty of the First Choice

NOTE: * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%. OLS estimates for a polynomial specification in columns 1 and 2. Local Linear Regression estimates using the triangular Kernel in columns 3 and 4. The bandwidth is chosen according to the optimal criterion in Imbens and Kalyanaraman [2012]. Standard errors clustered by values of the eligibility score in parenthesis. Application-year dummies are included in all specifications.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Ç	Quadratic Spline	Cubic Spline	LLR-1BW	LLR-0.5BW
Dependen	t Variable: Fathe	er is Self-Emplo	yed (1 yes)	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	0.014 (0.034)	$0.038 \\ (0.041)$	0.018 (0.040)	$0.071 \\ (0.056)$
Mean Dep. Var.	0.324	0.324	0.328	0.329
Observations	5232	5232	3213	1746
Clusters	2907	2907	1711	901
Dependen	t Variable: Moth	er is Self-Empl	oyed (1 yes)	
Score>Cutoff (1 yes)	-0.009	-0.027	-0.070*	-0.063
	(0.027)	(0.034)	(0.039)	(0.055)
Mean Dep. Var.	0.158	0.158	0.165	0.165
Observations	5232	5232	2146	1113
Clusters	2907	2907	1108	571

Table D.3: The Effects of Cash Transfers on Parental Occupation Choices

NOTE: * significant at 10%. OLS estimates for a polynomial specification in columns 1 and 2. Local Linear Regression estimates using the triangular Kernel in columns 3 and 4. The bandwidth is chosen according to the optimal criterion in Imbens and Kalyanaraman [2012]. Standard errors clustered by values of the eligibility score in parenthesis. Application-year dummies are included in all specifications.

List of recent AFD Research Papers

AFD Research Papers are available through AFD's website at www.librairie.afd.fr/researchpapers

- # 2016-24 LEYRONAS, S. et al. (2016), "Toward an Analytical Framework for the Governance of Natural Resources: The Case of Groundwater", AFD Research Paper Series, No. 2016-24, May.
- # 2016-25 SUTTER C., C. RENOUARD and T. ROCA (2016), "State Legitimacy: A Multidimensional Approach", AFD Research Paper Series, No. 2016-25, June.
- # 2016-26 FERRER, H. and T. ROCA (2016), "Resilience to Crisis and Social Protection", AFD Research Paper Series, No. 2016-26, June.
- # 2016-27 BOTTON, S., S. HARDY and F. POUPEAU (2016), "Water from the heights, water from the grassroots: the Governance of common dynamics and public services in La Paz-El Alto", *AFD Research Paper Series*, No. 2016-27, June.
- # 2016-28 WAGNER, L. (2016), "How do earmarked funds change the geographical allocation of multilateral assistance?", *AFD Research Papers Series*, No. 2016-28, June.
- # 2016-29 GIRAUD, G., F. Mc ISAAC, E. BOVARI and E. ZATSEPINA (2016), "Coping with the Collapse: A Stock-Flow Consistent Monetary Macrodynamics of Global Warming", *AFD Research Papers Series*, No. 2016-29, August.
- # 2016-30 MERCIER, M., A. DAVID, R. MAHIA and R. DE ARCE (2016), "Reintegration upon return: insights from Ecuadorian returnees from Spain", *AFD Research Papers Series*, No. 2016-30, August.
- # 2016-31 ALTINOK, N. (2016), "Analyse critique et méthodologique des données d'éducation de l'Afrique subsaharienne", Papiers de Recherche AFD Series, n° 2016-31, Août.
- # 2016-32 PESTRE, G., E. LETOUZÉ and E. ZAGHENI (2016), "Assessing Biases in Calldetail records for Development Estimates", *AFD Research Paper Series*, No. 2016-32, September.
- # 2017-33 DAVID, A. and M.-A. MAROUANI (2017), "Migration patterns and labor market outcomes in Tunisia", *AFD Research Paper Series*, n° 2017-33, February.
- # 2017-34 D'AIGLEPIERRE, R. and A. BAUER (2017), "The choice of Arabo-Islamic education in sub-Saharan Africa: findings from a comparative study", AFD Research Paper Series, n° 2017-34, March.